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## Context

- $n$ components displayed on a structure, e.g. a set of crews on a steel plates.
- Components are located on a regular $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ grid.
- The failure of a component may lead to a higher stress on the components in its neighborhood.
- State of components are observed at some inspection times.


## Model and Notation

- $T_{i}$ : the lifetime of component $i$.
- $n$ binary stochastic processes: $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \forall t \geq 0$, $Y_{i}(t)=\mathbf{1}_{T_{i} \leq t}$
- A failure of a component leads to an increase of the hazard function of this component.
- Let $B_{i}$ denote the neighborhood of the $i$-th component.


## Illustrations of neighborhood (4 neighbors)



## Illustrations of neighborhood (8 neighbors)



## Lifetime distribution

- Hazard function conditionnally to the past (the state of $n$ components).
- Let $\mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}$be the $\sigma$-field generated by the $n$ binary stochatic processes defined above up to time $t^{-}$
- The hazard function of the $i$-th component, denoted $\lambda_{i}$ :

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{i}\left(t \mid \mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}\right)=\lambda(t) \exp \left(\alpha \sum_{j \in B_{i}} C_{j}(t) Y_{j}(t)+\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(t)\right)
$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the baseline hazard function, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and where, for the $i$-th component, $C_{i}(\cdot)$ is a time-dependent covariate and $Z_{i}(\cdot)$ is a vector of $p$ time-dependent covariates (temperature, constraints, etc.).

## Survival function

The survival function of the component $i$ is given by:

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad S_{i}\left(t \mid \mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}\right)=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{i}\left(u \mid \mathcal{H}_{u^{-}}\right) \mathrm{d} u\right) .
$$

Assume $\lambda$ is the hazard function of the Weibull distribution with
scale parameter $a>0$ and shape parameter $b>0$
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\forall t \geq 0, \quad S_{i}\left(t \mid \mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}\right)=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{i}\left(u \mid \mathcal{H}_{u^{-}}\right) \mathrm{d} u\right)
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Assume $\lambda$ is the hazard function of the Weibull distribution with scale parameter $a>0$ and shape parameter $b>0$ :

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \lambda(t)=\frac{b}{a}\left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^{b-1}
$$

## Simulation in independent case (without covariates)

- Weibull parameters: $a=2$ and $b=2$.
- square grid of $50 \times 50$ components.
- failed component are displayed in red.
- $\alpha=0$ (no interaction)
- $\beta=0$ (no covariates)


## Plot of failed components (Independent case)



Time $=0.325$
Number of falures: 474


Figure: No interaction ( $\alpha=0$ )

## Simulation in dependent case

- Weibull parameters: $a=2$ and $b=2$.
- square grid of $50 \times 50$ components.
- failed component are displayed in red.
- $\alpha=2$
- $\beta=0$ (no covariates)


## Plot of failed components (Dependent case)



Figure: with interaction ( $\alpha>0$ )
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## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n)$

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n)$
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n)$
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over [ $0 ; 1$ ]
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n)$
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over [ $0 ; 1$ ]
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components \}

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n)$
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over [ $0 ; 1$ ]
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do

17:

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow$ Vector(length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r\}$

17:

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector (length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r\}$
11: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\left\{i_{r-1}\right\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components \}

17:

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r$ \}
11: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\left\{i_{r-1}\right\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components $\}$
12: $\quad$ for $j \in B_{i_{r-1}} \cap R$ do
13: $\left.\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{i_{r-1}}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
14: end for

17:

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r$ \}
11: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\left\{i_{r-1}\right\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components $\}$
12: $\quad$ for $j \in B_{i_{r-1}} \cap R$ do
13: $\left.\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{i_{r-1}}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
14: $\quad$ end for $\quad i_{r} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail $\}$
17:

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r\}$
11: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\left\{i_{r-1}\right\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components $\}$
12: $\quad$ for $j \in B_{i_{r-1}} \cap R$ do
13: $\left.\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{i_{r-1}}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
14: $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { end for } \\ & \left.i_{r} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j} \text { \{select the next comp. to fail }\right\}\end{aligned}$
16: $\quad T_{i_{r}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{r}}$ \{store the next failure times $\}$
17: end for

## Simulation Algorithm

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot)$
$1: T \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\{$ Step 1$\}$
5: set $R \leftarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ \{set of unfailed components $\}$
6: draw $\tilde{T}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{T}_{n}: \log \left(U_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
7: $i_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
8: $T_{i_{1}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{1}}$ \{store the next failure times \}
9: for $r \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ do
10: $\{$ Step $r$ \}
11: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\left\{i_{r-1}\right\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components \}
12: $\quad$ for $j \in B_{i_{r-1}} \cap R$ do
13: $\left.\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{i_{r-1}}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
14: $\quad$ end for $\quad i_{r} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail $\}$
16: $\quad T_{i_{r}} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{i_{r}}$ \{store the next failure times $\}$
17: end for
return $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$

## Available data

- Inspection times, $\tau_{1}<\cdots<\tau_{m}$, are the same for all components.
- The available data at each inspection time is a binary information on whether the component is failed or not ( $Y_{i}=0$ or $Y_{i}=1$ ).
- data are interval-censored.
- covariates $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ and $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ are observed continuously.


## First case: Failure

- $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ : the set of all failed components at the last inspection time $\tau_{m}$
- For component $i$, let $j_{i}=\min \left\{j ; Y_{i}\left(\tau_{j}\right)=1\right\}$ be the inspection time s.t. the failure is observed for the first time on the $i$-th component
- $\mathcal{N}_{1, r}=\left\{i \in \mathcal{N}_{1} ; j_{i}=r\right\}$.
- Failure appears between $\tau_{j i-1}$ and $\tau_{j i}$.
- The probability of this event is given by:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{j_{i}-1}<T_{i} \leq \tau_{j_{i}} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{j_{i}}^{-}}\right]=S_{i}\left(\tau_{j_{i}-1} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{j_{i}-1}^{-}}\right)-S_{i}\left(\tau_{j_{i}} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{j_{i}}^{--}}\right) .
$$

## Second case: No failure

- $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ : the set of all unfailed components at the last inspection time $\tau_{m}$.
- We have $\mathbb{P}\left[T_{i}>\tau_{m} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right]=S_{i}\left(\tau_{m} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right)$.
- Notice that the subset $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ can be empty, but it cannot be the case for $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.


## Pseudo-likelihood

- If $\alpha \neq 0$, the likelihood function can be difficulty written
- The pseudo-likelihood function (ignoring the dependency), $p L$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p L\left(\alpha, \beta, a, b \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right)=\prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} s_{i}\left(\tau_{m} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{1}}\left[s_{i}\left(\tau_{j_{i}-1} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{j_{i}}^{-}}\right)-s_{i}\left(\tau_{\left.\left.j_{i} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{j_{i}}^{-}}\right)\right]}\right.\right. \\
&=\prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} s_{i}\left(\tau_{m} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right) \prod_{r=1}^{m} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{1, r}}\left[s_{i}\left(\tau_{r-1} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{r-1}^{-}}\right)-s_{i}\left(\tau_{r} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{r}^{-}}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

- It could rather be useful to consider the pseudo-log-likelihood function $p \ell$ which is given by:

$$
p \ell\left(\alpha, \beta, a, b \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} \log \left[s_{i}\left(\tau_{m} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{m}^{-}}\right)\right]+\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}_{1}, r} \log \left[s_{i}\left(\tau_{r-1} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{r-1}^{-}}\right)-s_{i}\left(\tau_{r} \mid \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{r}^{-}}\right)\right]
$$

## Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood Estimator

- Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood Estimator of the parameters cannot be computed neither in a closed-form, nor numerically.
- hazard functions depend on binary stochastic processes $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ which are only known at inspection times.
- to compute the survival at time $t$, it is required to the value of the hazard function at any time between 0 and $t$.
- $\Rightarrow$ use of SEM algorithm


## SEM Algorithm: Step 0

Step 0: parameter initialization.

For the covariates, set $\widehat{\alpha}^{(0)}=0$ and $\widehat{\beta}^{(0)}=0$ (no effect).

Parameters $a$ and $b$ can be thus estimated by maximizing the log-likelihood (since components are independent): $\hat{a}^{(0)}$ and $\widehat{b}^{(0)}$.
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This algorithm requires to simulate the missing data, which are the times-to-failure of the components.

## Simulation Algorithm based on observations 1

Require: $n, a, b, \alpha, \beta, Z_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, Z_{n}(\cdot), \mathbf{D}_{o b s}$
1: $T \leftarrow$ Vector(length $=n$ )
2: $\tilde{T} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vector}($ length $=n$ )
3: draw $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ i.i.d. from the uniform distribution over $[0 ; 1]$
4: $\left\{\right.$ Interval $\left.l_{1}\right\}$
5: $R \leftarrow I_{1}$ \{set of unfailed components in $I_{1}$ \}
6: for $j \in l_{1}$ do
7: $\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta^{\prime} Z_{j}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
8: end for
9: $h \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
10: $T_{h} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{h}$ \{store the next failure times \}
11: if $n_{1}>1$ then
12: for $r \in\left\{2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ do
13: $R \leftarrow R \backslash\{h\}$ \{update the set of non-failed components in $I_{1}$ \}
14: $\quad$ for $j \in B_{h} \cap R$ do
15: $\quad$ draw $\left.\tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{h}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0$
16: end for
17: $h \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}$ \{select the next comp. to fail\}
18: $\quad T_{h} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{h}$ \{store the next failure times
19: end for
20: end if

## Simulation Algorithm based on observations 2

```
for \(i \in\{2, \ldots, m\}\) do
2: \(\quad\left\{\right.\) Interval \(\left.I_{i}\right\}\)
3: \(\quad R \leftarrow I_{i}\)
4: if \(n_{i}>0\) then
5: \(\quad\) for \(j \in I_{1}\) do
6: \(\left.\quad \operatorname{draw} \tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0\)
7: end for
8: \(\quad h \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in R} \tilde{T}_{j}\) \{select the next comp. to fail\}
9: \(\quad T_{h} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_{h}\) \{store the next failure times \(\}\)
10: if \(n_{1}>1\) then
11: \(\quad\) for \(r \in\left\{2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}\) do
                    \(R \leftarrow R \backslash\{h\}\) \{update the set of non-failed components in \(\left.I_{i}\right\}\)
                    for \(j \in B_{h} \cap R\) do
                    draw \(\left.\tilde{T}_{j}: \log \left(U_{j}\right)+\int_{T_{h}}^{t_{j}} \lambda(s) \exp \left(\beta Z(s)+\alpha \sum_{k \in B_{j}} C_{k}(s) Y_{k}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0\)
15
17:
\(18:\)
1 . end for
```


## Numerical Illustration

- inspection times are periodic, say $\tau_{j}=j \delta$ for some $\delta>0$.
- $n=20 \times 20$ components.
- simulations with $a=2, b=3, \alpha=1, K=100$ and $\delta=0.1$.
- no covariate/no constraint:

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{i, j}\left(t \mid \mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}\right)=\lambda(t) \exp \left(\alpha \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in B_{i, j}} Y_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}(t)\right)
$$

## Numerical Illustration

- inspection times are periodic, say $\tau_{j}=j \delta$ for some $\delta>0$.
- $n=20 \times 20$ components.
- simulations with $a=2, b=3, \alpha=1, K=100$ and $\delta=0.1$.
- no covariate/no constraint:

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{i, j}\left(t \mid \mathcal{H}_{t^{-}}\right)=\lambda(t) \exp \left(\alpha \sum_{\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in B_{i, j}} Y_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}(t)\right)
$$

| $\hat{a}$ | $\hat{b}$ | $\hat{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.206064 | 2.645539 | 1.019503 |

## Future Work

- Constraint exerced at the center of the grid (e.g. $n=2 p+1$ ) and diffused isotropically from the center as follows:

$$
C_{i, j}(t)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left((i-p-1)^{2}+(j-p-1)^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

- Application on real data

